

FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA UNIVERSIDADE Đ COIMBRA

# INFLUENCE OF POROUS DAMAGE ON FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH

E. R. Sérgio<sup>1</sup> • D. M. Neto<sup>1</sup> • F. V. Antunes<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEMMPRE, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Coimbra, Portugal

**IbCSI 2022** 5th Iberian Conference on Structural Integrity 31-1 March 2022 Coimbra (Portugal)

#### **Fatigue Crack Growth Mechanisms**

- The fatigue crack growth rate is defined by  $da/dN-\Delta K$  curves.
- $\Delta K$  is the crack driving force.

E.R. Sérgio (edmundo.sergio@uc.pt)

 These curves cannot predict the effect of stress ratio or variable amplitude loading.



FCG is due to the occurrence of several, interdependent, damage mechanisms at the crack tip zone.



#### **Crack Tip Plastic Deformation**

- Crack tip plastic deformation is generally assumed to be the main damage mechanism acting at the process zone.
- Crack closure has also proved to be a crucial phenomenon in FCG.
- Plastic deformations induce porous damage.





## **Objectives**

 Evaluate the interactions between porous damage, characterized by the GTN damage, plastic strain and crack closure.

• **Predict** FCG for the 2024-T351 aluminum alloy.

All numerical simulations were performed with the in-house finite element code DD3Imp

#### 5

#### **Material Constitutive Model**

- GTN considers a free of voids matrix.
- The shape of the yield surface was defined by the **von Mises** yield criterion.
- The hardening behavior was described by the **Swift** and **Lemaitre–Chaboche** hardening laws.
- The isotropic elastic behavior was given by the generalized Hooke's law.

#### IbCSI 2022 | March 2022

#### **Material Parameters**

• The isotropic and kinematic hardening parameters were simultaneously calibrated using the stress-strain curves obtained in smooth specimens of the experimental low cycle fatigue tests.

Elastic properties of 2024-T351 aluminium alloy and parameters for the Swift isotropic hardening law combined with the Armstrong–Frederick kinematic hardening law.

Material	E [GPa]	ν	Y <sub>0</sub> [MPa]	K [MPa]	n	X <sub>sat</sub> [MPa]	C <sub>X</sub>
AA 2024-T351	72.26	0.29	288.96	389.00	0.056	111.84	138.80

The GTN parameters, for this alloy, were obtained from <u>existente</u> literature.

Parameters of the GTN model for the of 2024-T351 aluminium alloy.

Material	fo	<b>q</b> 1	<b>q</b> 2	<b>q</b> 3	$f_N$	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_N$	<i>s</i> <sub><i>N</i></sub>
AA2024-T351	0.007	1.5	1	2.25	0.032	2.25	2.25

#### **Geometry and Discretization**

- A compact tension specimen was used in this study. It was loaded, in Mode I, with Fmax=416.7 N and Fmin=4.17 N, resulting in a stress ratio, R=0.1.
- The mesh of the specimen considers three distinct zones: a very refined area near the crack tip, a transition zone, and a coarser mesh in the far side of the crack zone.
- 7287 2D plane strain finite elements and 7459 nodes were used.



#### *da/dN-*Δ*K* curves

- The results indicate that GTN induces a much better approximation to the experimental results.
- As expected, for higher  $\Delta K$  values the GTN model provides higher FCG rates.

- However, for lower values of  $\Delta K$ , the GTN model has a **protective behavior**, reducing the *da/dN*.
- This indicates an interrelation between mechanisms at the crack tip.



#### **Plastic Strain at the crack tip**

- The plastic strain accumulation was obtained, on the node containing the crack tip, for two distinct initial crack lengths (a<sub>0</sub>):
  - $a_0=11.5 \text{ mm} (\Delta K = 7.86 \text{ MPa. m}^{-0.5})$  where the model considering GTN predicts a **slower** crack propagation rate.
  - $a_0=16.5.5 \text{ mm} (\Delta K = 10.36 \text{ MPa. m}^{-0.5})$  where the numerical model considering GTN predicts a faster da/dN.





IbCSI 2022 | March 2022

#### **Plastic Strain at the crack tip**

- For a<sub>0</sub>=11.5 mm the critical plastic strain is achieved faster without GTN.
- For *a*<sub>0</sub>=16.5 the effect of porosity is such that the critical plastic strain is achieved faster with GTN.
- The inversion on the behavior of the plastic strain accumulation evidences the effect of **additional mechanisms** at the crack tip.



#### **Crack Closure**

- For both initial crack sizes the model with GTN provides higher crack closure levels.
- By reducing the effective intensity of the stress state at the crack tip, it protects the material.
- Therefore, crack closure explains the da/dN results obtained for ao=11.5 mm.



## **Crack Closure**

- Higher crack closure levels occur in the model with GTN because:
  - The higher plastic strain level stimulates Plastic Induced Crack Closure.
  - The inclusion of porosity increases the volume of the deformed material at the crack flanks, increasing the contact between them.
- For higher  $\Delta K$  levels, crack closure is not able to fully protect the material. This way, **another mechanism** should rule.



#### Porosity

The evolution of the porosity, during a single propagation, was studied for both initial crack lengths.



#### Conclusions

- The GTN version, of the node release numeric model, provides a much better approximation to the experimental results.
- Higher crack closure levels are generated by higher plastic strain level and crack flank volume.
- Until a certain point crack closure **balances** the porosity effect then **porosity controls** FCG.
- FCG damage mechanisms should be analysed as a whole and not in isolation.



This research was funded by Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) under the project with reference PTDC/EME-EME/31657/2017 and by UIDB/00285/2020.



## Thank you for your attention!